(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 1595 /2002

PETITIONER : Balu Ram Jaiswal,
S/o Kedar Prasad,
Aged about 45 years,
R/o Village-Sees P.O. Sees Pali,
Tehsil- Kota, District-Bilaspur.

P. R. No. 1596/02
Presented by Shri V. Pandey
dated 06.08.02

- Versus-

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary, Department
Of Panchayat, Mantralaya,
Shastri Chowk, Raipur(C.G.)

2. Additional Collector,
Bilaspur.

3. Gram Panchayat Sees,
Through Chief Executive Officer,
Tehsil- Kota, Distt. Bilaspur.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC.OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(31)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 1595 सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	29.08.2003 Shri Prashant Jaiswal, with Shri Asgar Ali counsel for the petitioner. State by Shri Gautam Bhaduri, Deputy Advocate General with Shri S.P.Bargaiya, Govt. Advocate. Heard. In view of the limited nature of the prayer made which is for a direction to the appellant authority i.e. respondent no.2 to dispose of the appeal within a stipulated period, no useful purpose will be served in keeping this petition pending. Accordingly on the prayer of counsel for the petitioner and with the consent of the State Counsel this petition is finally disposed of at the motion stage. Admittedly, against the passing of a no-confidence motion against the petitioner a dispute has been raised before the Additional Collector/respondent no.2 under section 21(4) of the Panchayat Raj Adhiniyam 1993. Initially an interim order staying the motion of no-confidence was passed on 19.6.2002 but subsequently on 23.7.2002 the said order was vacated. It is the	

[पीछे देखिये

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that thereafter no steps are being taken by the authority to dispose of the dispute. Accordingly, the prayer is for a direction to the authority/ respondent no.2 to dispose of the dispute with a stipulated period. In the above view of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent no.2 to try and dispose of the dispute at an early date after affording an opportunity of hearing to all the parties before him preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt/communication of this order. However, in the garb of this order, the proceedings will not be completed without notice to the contesting parties. It is made clear that the Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's claim or contention, which will be decided by the authority on its own merits and in accordance with law. **Sd/-** **P.C. Naik** **Judge**	

[पीछे देखिये